NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1025

EXHIBIT B

•	YORK COUNTY CLERK 05/13/2022 03:13 PM INDEX NO. 653594/201 Case 4:17-cv-01850-CW Document 231-1 Filed 04/22/20 Page 1 of 11 REFEIVED NYSCEF: 05/13/202	
NYSCEF DOC. NO	Case 4:17-cv-01850-CW Document 231-1 Filed 04/22/20 Page 1 of 11 1025 Regerved hyscef: 05/13/202	22
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	OAKLAND DIVISION	
11	IN RE MCKESSON CORPORATION Case No. 4:17-cv-01850-CW	
12	DERIVATIVE LITIGATION [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND	
13	ORDER APPROVING DERIVATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT	
14	Re: Dkt Nos. 221, 222	
15		
16		
17		
18	WHEREAS, a consolidated stockholder derivative action is	
19	pending in this Court entitled In re McKesson Corporation	
20	Derivative Litigation, No. 4:17-cv-01850-CW (the "California	
21	Action");	
22	WHEREAS, (a) plaintiffs in the California Action, Eli	
23	Inzlicht; Vladimir Gusinsky, as Trustee for the Vladimir Gusinsky	
24	Living Trust; Chaile Steinberg; Michael Berent, Trustee of the	
25	Police & Fire Retirement System City of Detroit; and Amalgamated	
26	Bank, as Trustee for Longview Largecap 500 Index Fund and	
27	Longview Largecap 500 Index VEBA Fund (collectively, the	
28	California Plaintiffs); (b) plaintiffs in the stockholder	
	1 [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT	

FILED: NEW	-Case 1.17 cv 0.1850 cv 0.000 por 231 c Elled 01/2270 Dage 2 of 11
NYSCEF DOC. NO	RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/13/2022
1	derivative action pending in the Court of Chancery of the State
2	of Delaware (the Delaware Court), styled as In re McKesson
3	Corporation Stockholder Derivative Litigation, Consol. C.A. No.
4	2017-0736-SG (the Delaware Action and, together with the
4 5	California Action, the Actions), Katielou Greene and Charles
5	Ojeda (collectively, the Delaware Plaintiffs and, together with
0 7	the California Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs); (c) current and former
	defendants in the California Action or the Delaware Action, Andy
8	Bryant; Wayne A. Budd; John Hammergren; M. Christine Jacobs;
9	Marie L. Knowles; Edward Mueller; Donald Knauss; Susan Salka; N.
10	Anthony Coles; Alton Irby III; David Lawrence; Jane Shaw; Laureen
11	Seeger; Paul Julian; and Mark Walchirk (collectively,
12	Defendants); (d) the Special Litigation Committee formed by the
13	Board of Directors of Nominal Defendant McKesson Corporation (the
14	SLC); and (e) Nominal Defendant McKesson Corporation (Nominal
15	Defendant, McKesson, or the Company and, together with
16	Plaintiffs, Defendants, and the SLC, the Parties) have reached a
17	proposed settlement on the terms and conditions set forth in the
18	Stipulation and Agreement of Compromise, Settlement, and Release
19	dated December 11, 2019, (the Stipulation) subject to the
20	
21	approval of this Court (the Settlement); WHEREAS, the Settlement provides for a complete dismissal
22	
23	with prejudice of the claims asserted in the Actions against Defendants;
24	
25	WHEREAS, by Order dated January 31, 2020 (the Preliminary
26	Approval Order), this Court (a) preliminarily approved the
27	Settlement; (b) ordered that notice of the proposed Settlement be
28	provided to McKesson stockholders; (c) provided McKesson [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND
	2 (FROFOSED) FINAL JODGMENT AND ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT

FILED: NEW	<u> Case 4.17-cv-01850-CW/ Document 231-1 Eiled 04/22/</u> 20 Page 3 of 11
NYSCEF DOC. NO	. 10250 MERCED NYSCEF: 05/13/2022
1	stockholders with the opportunity to object to the proposed
2	Settlement and Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel's application for an
3	award of attorneys' fees and expenses; and (d) scheduled a
4	hearing regarding final approval of the Settlement;
5	WHEREAS, the Court conducted a hearing on April 21, 2020
6	(the Settlement Fairness Hearing) to consider, among other
7	things, (a) whether the California Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs'
8	Lead Counsel have adequately represented the interests of
9	McKesson and its stockholders; (b) whether the proposed
10	Settlement on the terms and conditions provided for in the
11	Stipulation is fair, reasonable, and adequate to McKesson and its
12	stockholders, and should be approved by the Court; (c) whether a
13	judgment should be entered dismissing the California Action with
14	prejudice; and (d) whether the application by Plaintiffs' Lead
15	Counsel for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses should be
16	approved;

WHEREAS, no objections to the Settlement were filed; and 17 WHEREAS, it appearing that due notice of the terms of the 18 Settlement and Releases and the Settlement Fairness Hearing has 19 been given in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order; the 20 Parties having appeared by their respective attorneys of record; 21 the Court having heard and considered evidence in support of the 2.2 proposed Settlement; the attorneys for the respective Parties 23 having been heard; an opportunity to be heard having been given 2.4 to all other persons or entities requesting to be heard in 25 accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order; the Court having 26 determined that notice to McKesson stockholders was adequate and 27

3

28

FILED: NEW NYSCEF DOC. NQ	YORK COUNTY CLERK 05/13/2022 03:13 PM INDEX NO. 653594/2018 Case 4:17-cv-01850-CW Document 231-1 Filed 04/22/20 Page 4 of 11 REFEIVED MYSCEF: 05/13/2022
1	sufficient; and the entire matter of the proposed Settlement
2	having been heard and considered by the Court;
3	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED,
4	as follows:
5	1. Definitions - Unless otherwise defined in this
6	Judgment, the capitalized terms used herein shall have the same
7	meaning as they have in the Stipulation.
8	2. Jurisdiction - The Court has jurisdiction over the
9	subject matter of the California Action, including all matters
10	necessary to effectuate the Settlement and this Judgment and over
11	all Parties.
12	3. Incorporation of Settlement Documents - This Judgment
13	incorporates and makes a part hereof: (a) the Stipulation filed
14	with the Court on December 27, 2019; and (b) the Notice and
15	Summary Notice, which were filed with the Court on January 30,
16	2020.
17	4. Derivative Action Properly Maintained; Adequacy of
18	Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Counsel - Based on the record in the
19	California Action, each of the provisions of Rule 23.1 of the
20	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure has been satisfied and the
21	California Action has been properly maintained according to Rule
22	23.1. The California Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel
23	have adequately represented the interests of McKesson and its
24	stockholders both in terms of litigating the California Action
25	and for purposes of entering into and implementing the
26	Settlement.
27	5. Notice - The Court finds that the dissemination of the
	Nation and mublication of the Cummous Nation: (a) wave

28 Notice and publication of the Summary Notice: (a) were

4

INDEX NO. 653594/2018

FILED: NEW	YORK COUNTY CLERK 05/13/2022 03:13 PM L Case 4:17-cv-01850-CW Document 231-1 Filed 04/22/20 Rege 5 of 11 NYSCEF: 05/13/2022
NYSCEF DOC. NO	$\frac{Case 4:17-cv-01850-CW}{1025} = \frac{11}{1025} = \frac{11}{102$
1	implemented in accordance with the Dreliminary Approval Order:
1	implemented in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order;
2	(b) constituted notice that was reasonably calculated, under the
3	circumstances, to apprise McKesson stockholders of: (i) the
4	pendency of the Actions; (ii) the effect of the proposed
5	Settlement (including the Releases to be provided thereunder);
б	(iii) Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel's application for an award of
7	attorneys' fees and expenses; (iv) their right to object to the
8	Settlement and/or Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel's application for
9	attorneys' fees and expenses; and (v) their right to appear at
10	the Settlement Hearing; (c) constituted due, adequate, and
11	sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled to receive
12	notice of the proposed Settlement; and (d) satisfied the
13	requirements of Rule 23.1 of the Federal Rules of Civil
14	Procedure, the United States Constitution (including the Due
15	Process Clause), and all other applicable law and rules.
16	6. Final Settlement Approval and Dismissal of Claims -
17	Pursuant to, and in accordance with, Federal Rule of Civil
18	Procedure 23.1, this Court hereby fully and finally approves the
19	Settlement set forth in the Stipulation in all respects
20	(including, without limitation: the Settlement consideration; the
21	Releases, including the release of the Settled Plaintiffs' Claims
22	as against the Released Defendant Parties; and the dismissal with
23	prejudice of the claims asserted against Defendants in the
24	California Action), and finds that the Settlement is, in all
25	respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Company and its
26	stockholders. The Parties are directed to implement, perform,
27	
28	

FILED: NEW	YORK COUNTY CLERK 05/13/2022 03:13 PM INDEX NO. 653594/2018 Case 4:17-cv-01850-CW Document 231-1 Filed 04/22/20 Page 6 of 11 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/13/2022
NYSCEF DOC. NO	Case 4:17-cv-01850-CW Document 231-1 Filed 04/22/20 Page 6 of 11 . 1025 4:17-cv-01850-CW Document 231-1 Filed 04/22/20 Page 6 of 11 NYSCEF: 05/13/2022
	and consummate the Cottlement in executions, with the terms and
1	and consummate the Settlement in accordance with the terms and
2	provisions contained in the Stipulation.
3	7. The California Action and all of the claims asserted
4	against all Defendants in the California Action by the California
5	Plaintiffs are hereby dismissed with prejudice. The Parties
6	shall bear their own costs and expenses, except as otherwise
7	expressly provided in the Stipulation.
8	8. Binding Effect - The terms of the Stipulation and of
9	this Judgment shall be forever binding on the Parties and all
10	McKesson stockholders, as well as their respective successors and
11	assigns.
12	9. Releases - The Releases set forth in paragraphs 9, 10,
13	and 11 of the Stipulation, together with the definitions
14	contained in paragraph 1 of the Stipulation relating thereto, are
15	expressly incorporated herein in all respects. The Releases are
16	effective as of the Effective Date. Accordingly, this Court
17	orders that: (a) without further action by anyone, and subject to
18	Paragraph 10 below, upon the Effective Date of the Settlement,
19	Plaintiffs, the SLC, the Company, and by operation of law the
20	Company's stockholders shall be deemed to have, and by operation
21	of law and of the Judgment, shall have, fully, finally, and
22	forever discharged, settled, and released, and shall forever be
23	enjoined from commencing or prosecuting, any and all Settled
24	Plaintiffs' Claims and Settled Litigation Claims (including
25	Unknown Claims) against the Released Defendants' Parties; (b)
26	without further action by anyone, and subject to Paragraph 10
27	below, upon the Effective Date of the Settlement, Defendants, the
28	SLC, and the Company shall be deemed to have, and by operation of
	6 [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT

FILED: NEW	YORK COUNTY CLERK 05/13/2022 03:13 PM L 1025 4:17-CV-01850-CW Document 231-1 Filed 04/22/20 Page 7 of 11 NYSCEF: 05/13/2022
NYSCEF DOC. NO	Case 4:17-cv-01850-CW Document 231-1 Filed 04/22/20 Page 7 of 11 . 1025 4:17-cv-01850-CW Document 231-1 Filed 04/22/20 Page 7 of 11 Nyscef: 05/13/2022
1	law and of the Judgment, shall have, fully, finally, and forever
2	discharged, settled, and released, and shall forever be enjoined
3	from commencing or prosecuting, any and all Settled Defendants'
4	Claims and Settled Litigation Claims (including Unknown Claims)
5	against the Released Plaintiffs' Parties; (c) without further
б	action by anyone, and subject to Paragraph 10 below, upon the
7	Effective Date of the Settlement, Plaintiffs, Defendants, the
8	Company, and by operation of law the Company's stockholders shall
9	be deemed to have, and by operation of law and of the Judgment,
10	shall have, fully, finally, and forever discharged, settled, and
11	released, and shall forever be enjoined from commencing or
12	prosecuting, any and all Settled Litigation Claims (including
13	Unknown Claims) against the SLC and the SLC's Counsel.
14	10. Notwithstanding Paragraphs 9(a)-(c) above, nothing in
15	this Judgment shall bar any action by any of the Parties to
16	enforce the terms of the Stipulation or this Judgment. Also, for
17	the avoidance of doubt, the Settlement does not cover, settle, or
18	release: (i) any direct claims held by any current, former, or
19	future stockholder of McKesson who is not a Plaintiff, including
20	any claims asserting violations of the federal or state
21	securities laws, including, without limitation, claims asserted
22	in Evanston Police Pension Fund v. McKesson Corporation, et al.,
23	Case No. 3:18-cv-06525-CRB (N.D. Cal.); or (ii) any claims
24	currently asserted in <u>Henry v. Tyler, et al.</u> , Case No. 3:19-cv-
25	2869-CRB (N.D. Cal.).
26	11. No Admissions - Neither this Judgment, the Term Sheet,
27	the Stipulation, including the exhibits thereto, the negotiations

27 || the Stipulation, including the exhibits thereto, the negotiations leading to the execution of the Term Sheet and the Stipulation, 28

7

FILED: NEW	<u> Case 1.17-cv-01850-CW/ Document 231-1 Eiled 0//22/</u> 20 Dage 8 of 11
NYSCEF DOC. NO	. 1025 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/13/2022
1	nor any proceedings taken pursuant to or in connection with the
1	
2	Term Sheet, the Stipulation, and/or approval of the Settlement
3	(including any arguments proffered in connection therewith): (a)
4	shall be offered against any of the Released Defendants' Parties
5	or the SLC as evidence of, or construed as, or deemed to be
б	evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission by any of
7	the Released Defendants' Parties or the SLC with respect to the
8	truth of any fact alleged by Plaintiffs or the validity of any
9	claim that was or could have been asserted or the deficiency of
10	any defense that has been or could have been asserted in the
11	Actions or in any other litigation, or of any liability,
12	negligence, fault, or other wrongdoing of any kind of any of the
13	Released Defendants' Parties or in any way referred to for any
14	other reason as against any of the Released Defendants' Parties,
15	in any arbitration proceeding or other civil, criminal, or
16	administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings
17	as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of the
18	Stipulation; (b) shall be offered against any of the Released
19	Plaintiffs' Parties or the SLC, as evidence of, or construed as,
20	or deemed to be evidence of any presumption, concession, or
21	admission by any of the Released Plaintiffs' Parties or the SLC
22	that any of the Released Plaintiffs' Parties' claims are without
23	merit, that any of the Released Defendants' Parties had
24	meritorious defenses, or that damages recoverable under the
25	Complaints would not have exceeded the Settlement Consideration
26	or with respect to any liability, negligence, fault, or
27	wrongdoing of any kind, or in any way referred to for any other
28	reason as against any of the Released Plaintiffs' Parties, in any
	8 [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT

FILED: NEW	YORK COUNTY CLERK 05/13/2022 03:13 PM INDEX NO. 653594/2018 Case 4:17-cv-01850-CW Document 231-1 Filed 04/22/20 Page 9 of 11 L 1025 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/13/2022
NYSCEF DOC. NO	Case 4:17-cv-01850-CW Document 231-1 Filed 04/22/20 Page 9 of 11 NYSCEF: 05/13/2022
1	arbitration proceeding or other civil, criminal, or
2	administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings
3	as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of the
4	Stipulation; or (c) shall be construed against any of the
5	Released Parties or the SLC as an admission, concession, or
6	presumption that the consideration to be given in the Settlement
7	represents the amount which could be or would have been recovered
8	after trial; provided, however, that the Parties, the Released
9	Parties, and their respective counsel, the SLC, and the SLC's
10	Counsel may refer to this Judgment and the Stipulation to
11	effectuate the protections from liability granted hereunder and
12	thereunder, to support any and all defenses or counterclaims
13	based on res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, good-faith
14	settlement, judgment bar or reduction or any other theory of
15	claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or
16	counterclaim, or otherwise to enforce the terms of the
17	Settlement.
18	12. Award of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses - Plaintiffs'
19	Counsel are hereby awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of 25%
20	of the Cash Settlement Fund, with due consideration given to both
21	the cash settlement and the corporate governance reforms, which
22	constitute an exceptional result. The Court finds the requested
23	attorneys' fees to be fair and reasonable under Delaware law ¹ and
24	¹ Delaware law governs the fee award here because Delaware
25	law governs the claims in this action. <u>See</u> Second Consolidated Amended Complaint ¶ 10, Docket No. 124 (invoking the Court's
26	subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332); <u>see also</u> <u>Mangold v. California Pub. Utilities Comm'n</u> , 67 F.3d 1470, 1478
27	(9th Cir. 1995) (holding that "state substantive law governs the award of fees in diversity actions" as well as the "calculation
28	of the amount of the fee"). The requested attorneys' fees are

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 05/13/2022

INDEX NO. 653594/2018

YORK COUNTY CLERK 05/13/2022 03:13 PM Case 4:17-cv-01850-CW Document 231-1 Filed 04/22/20 Page 10 of 11 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/13/2022 NYSCEF DOC. NO consistent with the twenty-five-percent benchmark used in the 1 Ninth Circuit. When cross-checked against the lodestar of 2 \$15,020,210.40, the requested attorneys' fees represent a 2.9 3 multiplier, which is a reasonable multiplier in light of the 4 excellent results that Plaintiffs' Counsel achieved on behalf of 5 the settlement class members and the risks they undertook to 6 litigate this action on a contingency basis. The Court also 7 finds the requested expenses in the amount of \$421,223.91 to be 8 fair, adequate, and reasonable. The Court-awarded attorneys' 9 fees and expenses shall be paid to Plaintiffs' Counsel in 10 accordance with the terms of the Stipulation. 11 13. No proceedings or court order with respect to the award 12 of attorneys' fees and expenses to Plaintiffs' Counsel shall in 13 any way disturb or affect this Judgment (including precluding 14 this Judgment from being Final or otherwise being entitled to 15 16 preclusive effect), and any such proceedings or court order shall be considered separate from this Judgment. 17 14. Retention of Jurisdiction - Without affecting the 18 finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court retains 19 continuing jurisdiction over the Parties and all McKesson 20 21 22 23 24 within the range approved by Delaware courts in similar cases. 25 See, e.g., Americas Mining Corp. v. Theriault, 51 A.3d 1213, 1259-60 (Del. 2012) ("A study of recent Delaware fee awards finds 26 that the average amount of fees awarded when derivative and class 27 actions settle for both monetary and therapeutic consideration is approximately 23% of the monetary benefit conferred; the median 28 is 25%.") (citations and internal quotation marks omitted). [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND

NEW

FILED: NEW	<u>Case / 17-cv-01850-CW Document 231-1 Eiled 0//22/2</u> 0 Page 11 of 11
NYSCEF DOC. NO	. 1025 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/13/2022
1	stackholders for nurnesses of the administration interpretation
1	stockholders for purposes of the administration, interpretation,
2	implementation, and enforcement of the Settlement.
3	15. Modification of the Stipulation - Any further
4	amendments or modifications of the Stipulation or any exhibits
5	attached thereto to effectuate the Settlement shall only be made
6	with the prior approval of the Court.
7	16. Termination of Settlement - If the Settlement is
8	terminated as provided in the Stipulation or the Effective Date
9	of the Settlement otherwise fails to occur, this Judgment shall
10	be vacated, rendered null and void, and be of no further force
11	and effect, except as otherwise provided by the Stipulation, and
12	this Judgment shall be without prejudice to the rights of the
13	Parties or any McKesson stockholders, and the Parties shall
14	revert to their respective litigation positions in the Actions as
15	of October 15, 2019.
16	17. Entry of Final Judgment - There is no just reason to
17	delay the entry of this Judgment as a final judgment in the
18	California Action. Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court is
19	expressly directed to immediately enter this final judgment in
20	the California Action.
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.
22	
23	Dated: April 22, 2020
24	CLAUDIA WILKEN United States District Judge
25	
26	
27	
28	
	11 [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT